

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

February 27, 2002 LB 600

SENATOR CUDABACK: The Coordsen amendment, AM2934, was adopted. I do raise the call. Back to discussion on the bill, advancement. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I hope my colleagues know what they did when they struck Sections 11 and 12 from the bill. I would venture to say that not more than five of us have read those two sections and maybe fewer have read all of Senator Coordsen's amendment. This is a serious bill. What it undertakes to do is serious. I don't know that my colleagues are, but I want the record to show that I'm taking it very seriously. And should it pass and the repercussions come in, I want the record to show that there was information available, even in the debate, which would have alerted senators to what they are doing and what they will have done should this become law. Now I would like to ask Senator Coordsen a few questions about his amendment since it has now been adopted and incorporated into the bill.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Would you respond to a question, Senator Coordsen?

SENATOR COORDSEN: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Coordsen, beginning on page 1, I'm going to read some language and then tell you what my question is, but I want it in context. "Valuations shall be established for land devoted primarily to agricultural or horticultural use upon the basis of the agricultural or horticultural income." That language means that if you have land which is devoted to both agricultural and horticultural use you can do certain things. But from the way the language is structured here with the word "or" does it mean that the land must be, for purposes of valuation, devoted primarily to one or the other, and whichever one it is primarily devoted to, that one's income is the only income to be considered to the exclusion of the other? And let me tell you what I'm getting at. If 52 percent of the land is agricultural usage and 48 percent horticultural usage, you have to use, for valuations, only the income which is the primary usage of the land, which would be in this case