

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 22, 2002 LB 824

supposition, I guess you would say, but I didn't know for sure. Thank you.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I'd like to ask Senator Brashear a few more questions. Senator Brashear, dealing with first-degree murder, as laid out in this bill, that would be Section 5 starting in line 25 on page 2 and continuing onto page 3. The way this language is crafted, we're not talking about a general intent anymore, we're talking about a specific intent to kill with knowledge of the pregnancy. Would you agree with that?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: When you say that there must be a specific intent to kill, you lift this out of the reasoning that undergirds felony murder. Would you agree?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: In the...in this Section 5, yes, sir, I would agree.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Just to get that clear in the record. When we say that there must be a specific intent to kill, then in line 7 we have the language "conduct that causes the death," without it being...

SENATOR BRASHEAR: I'm sorry, line 7, where, Senator Chambers?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Line 27 on page 2.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Yes, thank you.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: We're talking about first-degree murder. We don't say, conduct that proximately causes the death. You see, we're not talking about a person in being, one that we can see. We're talking about something that could be so small it would not be visible under a magnifying glass. Yet, we use a vague term, such as "causes" and here's why I say it's vague, this need not be the only cause, there could be other things that