

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 30, 2002 LB 435

works generally for his district and his perspective towards legislation, and by that I...I simply mean that, although he's deeply rooted in some traditional values, that when those values and those philosophies don't work for his district he is willing to reach out and look at things anew and to be, what I call, pragmatic, what other people would call commonsensical or maybe practical. But I think the nature of his attitude towards legislation and towards this body and towards what we do is shared by many people and is one of the great, great attributes of...of this body--the ability to look at things new and differently and to do something that's a little bit outside the box for the benefit of his constituencies. When this bill first came up, I must admit that I had a sense of shock go through me a little bit because, indeed, it was a little bit outside the box in terms of our free...free enterprise system and how we think of economic relationships. But in the course of the discussion of this whole thing perhaps I've gone a little more outside the box understanding what he perceives the problem to be, and also he was willing to come back a little closer to being in the box in a couple of critical ways. So this amendment, as far as I'm concerned, satisfies some critical elements for me and I'm going to vote for the amendment and I'm going to vote for the bill. Having said that, though, I think that we're all going to be called upon at one point in time or another to defend our vote on this bill and there are four or five aspects of the bill, depending on the constituency you...you come from, four or five things you might hear about the bill and you should be prepared to talk about because, again, they're a little bit outside the box. The first thing is, with respect to the vital mechanisms of the bill, they still represent a measure of rent control and they still represent some limitation on the property owner's right to sell if that property owner is leasing or owning what is defined as railroad property, that is original railroad right-of-way or land purchase contingent to that right-of-way by the railroad originally. So you will need to be prepared to say why you are departing from a free buyer, free seller, free lessor, free lessee situation. The second thing is it represents a departure in terms of how government relates to private disputes, and by that I mean it is bringing in the Department of Agriculture to resolve disputes between private owners under our administrative