

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

January 24, 2002 LB 82, 497

SENATOR BROMM: Okay, so...so it's subjective, is the answer.

SENATOR BOURNE: Yes, it is subjective,...

SENATOR BROMM: And...and we haven't...

SENATOR BOURNE: ...just as our current system is.

SENATOR BROMM: Well, our current system wouldn't include a suppression hearing for me if I forgot my tape recorder in the office. It would include a suppression hearing if there were any indication that I'd not followed the proper procedures. Or, if the defense attorney wanted to investigate and interrogate the arresting officer about the procedures that were used, a suppression hearing would be held. But what I'm saying is, and I believe that I'm correct, is that adding these words "should" and "when feasible", that might be a compromise that...that the sponsor and Senator Bourne have chosen or...and Senator Bruning have chosen to agree to. I don't know for sure, but I'm going to suggest that, in many ways, if you're going to do this, you better do it as clearly as you can because, in the long run, that's...that's far better for the system than having what I would call weasel words that can be interpreted in many different ways. I also have questions about...if Senator Brashear is by his microphone. I don't think he is. There he is. Senator Brashear, while he's making his way to the microphone...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Brashear, would you yield, Senator Brashear?

SENATOR BROMM: How much time do I have left?

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute, Senator.

SENATOR BROMM: That isn't going to be enough time. I'm sorry, Senator Brashear. I'll make a few comments and I'll put my light on again because it will take longer than a minute. Let me say that I've heard...I think I've heard Senator Brashear mention a couple of different times about when this occurs at a place of detention and I...and I opened LB 497 as the bill was