

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 18, 2002 LB 824

they didn't. But it's obvious what this bill is talking about and, rather than face it directly with the moral rectitude these people pretend to have, they are finding ways, if they can, to shilly-shally around it and pretend it's not doing what it would do. He knows that if the term "in utero" is stricken then it also includes those forms of human life, as he would call it, who exist in a laboratory vessel. It means that whether the conception occurred in utero or in vitro, both would be protected. He knows that, but his morality, as I said, has taken feet and legs. And I'm glad to have this polygraph test before us so that I can invoke it at other times during the session. When these self-righteous people jump on their hobby horse and talk about I'm being overly critical, I'm going to just go back to their vote and say people who believe in moral relativism can't tell me anything about right and wrong because they have no firm system of right and wrong. Nothing is absolute in their view when it comes to morality. It is subject to the vagaries of political expediency. Senator Foley didn't have to wait eight hours to invoke cloture. The new rule allows it at any time. And all he'll do is not just get me; he'll get all those people who got amendments after mine. As I said at the beginning of the session, I don't care what this body does. Will I stop every bill? Let me give an analogy. Barry Bonds destroyed the home run record, but he didn't hit a home run every time he came to the plate. Mike Tyson is one of the hardest hitting persons who ever put on boxing gloves and won the heavyweight championship, but not only did he not knock out every opponent, he didn't even win every fight. So this is one of those that the Catholics may win but, in the process of winning, they show me that they've sold their soul to the devil. I am claiming the souls of all those who pretend to be pro-life. I am setting the standard based on what they said about their morality not being relative, what they said about a fertilized egg being a human being. But now, because the fertilized egg does not live in the wealthy suburb of the womb, it is not to be protected. That's their prerogative. I've never said people have to be absolute. I've never said that. The church has not always been absolute. It has changed its position, so why should I expect mere mortals in the Legislature to practice anything other than moral relativism? Why should I expect them to do anything other than to yield to political expediency...