

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 15, 2001

LB 536

SENATOR RAIKES: ...so somebody has, excuse me.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.

SENATOR RAIKES: Oh, thank you. So somebody has figured out how much you owe for that. Would it be a big problem to add, is it 50 cents per ton, is that what we're talking about?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: I think so.

SENATOR RAIKES: Would that...would that be a big administrative problem? I don't understand that argument.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Not, it's not...it's not for the hauler. I'm saying for the plant that produces that, they have to determine the source of that by-product as I understand it, and if it came from ethanol, they'd have a tax on it. If it came from high fructose syrup, it wouldn't. So they were going to have to make that determination of the source of that by-product, that's the way I understand it.

SENATOR RAIKES: There are, for example, gluten feed or distiller's grains may be simpler. Are there two different sources for that by-product in the plant?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Well, I'm not...I don't know. I was just told that, particularly in this one plant, because they have several by-products...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Time.

SENATOR RAIKES: Thank you, Senator.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Raikes. Senator Chambers, on the motion to reconsider.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, what is my reaction to Senator Wehrbein's pontificating? Amen, generally. But I disagree with the wisdom of maximum production. Here, again, is what Senator Wehrbein, I think, is not looking at. I don't say he's unaware, but I don't think