

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 15, 2001

LB 536

a product that is an interstate commerce to the extent that I am thinking about, but it would be something that seems to me to be worth exploring, because if we did, in fact, cause the 5.4 cents to be passed on to consumers at the pump, I would hope that there would be some additional demand for ethanol and that perhaps one of the ways that we could reduce future subsidies for the ethanol industry is to find a way to increase volume. Now, there are instances in which increasing volume simply means that you lose more money. I've seen people argue for that on occasion and I've never quite understood it when they said, I want to increase volume so I can lose more money. That was kind of the "dot com" models, but I think we've seen clearly that that fails as a business plan so I doubt that the ethanol folks are going to advocate that as a model, although they do seem to advocate as a model that we need more subsidies so we can produce more, so maybe...maybe they would go so far as to say that we want to increase volume so we can lose more, I'm not sure, in attempt to build market share. But I would hope that we would find a way so that we could build market shares with a price discount that ultimately meant that the industry was able to stand alone without the benefit of government subsidies because the volume of production was sufficient for them to realize the various scales of economy...or economies of scale, I'm sorry, I ought to get that in the right order, economies of scale that we find in other industries. Now, maybe it is possible, after we begin examining the issue, we would find out that the ethanol industry is not one that benefits from economies of scale. But, again, I think that would be something important for us as a Revenue Committee to examine and to find out and to be able to advise the rest of the Legislature about if...if we were able to make a determination and able to bring that...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: ...information to you. So, in addition to the issues that I have suggested to you earlier that I think the Revenue Committee could address, I would add that subsequent issue about whether or not we could find some way to assure ourselves that the consumers benefit from the subsidies and, thereby, create a greater demand for ethanol and perhaps,