

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 15, 2001

LB 536

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: So I would...I would hope, again, that you would not support Senator Chambers' motion to reconsider because I don't support the underlying motion, but I would ask you to consider more carefully and offer your support to a motion to reconsider (sic--recommit) it to the Revenue Committee that will come up later.

SENATOR Dw. PEDERSEN: Senator Chambers, to close on your reconsideration.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I'm going to resume my reading because there are some people who are following what this case says. Following submission of the formal application, the Ethanol Authority assembled a team to perform a due diligence review of the formal application submitted by Nebraska Nutrients. The review team consisted of Steve Sorum, S-o-r-u-m, an employee of the Ethanol Authority who was designated as the project manager, and five members of the Ethanol Authority's board of directors. The team was assisted by a law firm to review legal issues, an accounting firm to review the financial information contained in the application, an engineering firm to perform a technical review of the plant's design and process, and a consulting firm which was to perform an assessment of the proposed marketing of products to be manufactured by the plant. In a memorandum to the review team dated July 19, 1990, Sorum stated: "The initial task for the review team is to determine if this project merits funding. If so, we shall negotiate an agreement with the applicants and present the entire package to the full EADB for a vote to approve the investment." On August 7, 1990, Roles, Shepherd, and Corbet formed Nebraska Nutrients, and on September 6 the three formed Tri-State. Tri-State was formed to actually build the plant which Nebraska Nutrients would eventually own in order to avoid possible liability problems associated with the construction. A formal groundbreaking ceremony was held at the plant site on September 21, although some preliminary work had been done prior to that date. Then is listed some features associated with agreements and obligations that these three crooks were going to take with reference to each other. Meanwhile, in approximately September 1990, the Ethanol Authority's review team became concerned about Shepherd's prior