

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

May 14, 2001

LB 536, 620

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That would still not meet the cost of production, would it, for corn?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: No.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So we're talking about trying to lose less. People are still going to be in a losing proposition for every acre of corn they plant, even with ethanol being produced at the optimum level, would you agree?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Well, this is a long story to talk...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Time.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: ...about in five minutes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, yes, that's too bad. (laugh)

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Chambers and Senator Wehrbein. Senator Raikes.

SENATOR RAIKES: Yes.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Your light was on. Would you like to speak?

SENATOR RAIKES: Oh, yes, I would. Thank you, Mr. Lieutenant Governor, members. I...I should mention that Senator Wehrbein visited my farm this past weekend and he brought along with him a bull that he just purchased that he's very proud of. And after what he said about my amendment, I looked over there to see if he still had that bull with him this morning. But, let me...let me comment about LB 620. There has been some discussion that somehow agriculture is different. Keep in mind that ethanol plants would be included in LB 620, so this is not in place of, it's not like that...that LB 620 is okay for nonagriculture but not okay for agriculture. LB 620, ethanol plants would be included. So if you're...if you're trying to