

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 10, 2001

LB 366

they would have the authority to determine the status of nonfarm buildings, I should say, located on farms. And that is a residence located on 20 acres or more. And if they wish to require a building permit, they could. If their philosophy is such that they think there is no legitimate reason or need to require such a building permit under the regulations, they could say they do not require a permit. So it would be up to the county if this amendment is adopted. We looked at this, tried to come up with a reasonable solution. I've shown it to Senator Raikes. I'll appreciate knowing how he feels about it if he would let us know and appreciate any consideration the body would have. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Bromm. For debate on the Bromm amendment, Senator Raikes.

SENATOR RAIKES: Thank you, Mr. Lieutenant Governor, members. I do support the Bromm amendment. I think it clarifies the intent. It makes it clear that counties should be in the process of making this decision and I think accommodates both the needs, well, it accommodates the needed clarification that this is what should happen and gives a clear description as to how counties should respond. So I think this is a good change and I do support the amendment. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Raikes. Senator Cudaback, on the Bromm amendment.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Mr. President, members, very good amendment. If they don't have the zoning, well, this may make them go to the zoning aspect to just come under Senator Bromm's amendment. Very good amendment, thank you.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Cudaback. Senator Bromm, you're recognized to close. Senator Bromm waives closing. The question is the adoption of AM1878 to LB 366. Those in favor vote aye; those opposed vote nay. Please record.

CLERK: 26 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on the adoption of Senator Bromm's amendment.