

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 9, 2001

LB 465

Senator Beutler gave some very...a very good analysis of this. And as I take what Senator Bromm said, we maybe have panels of three already making decisions, and it may even continue. If we go to five, we still may have three-person panels making those decisions. We may well want to look at this some more. I'm not sure the whole body has been really up to speed on what this whole issue is. I feel uncomfortable at this point going ahead and making other decision, but certainly there's other times coming that maybe we all ought to look at it. But I think with some of the things that Senator Landis had in his amendment will help move us toward increased professionalism, if you will, in the TERC board. So with that, I think three...going back to three is a reasonable position to take at this point and I would encourage your support of that.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Wehrbein. Senator Baker.

SENATOR BAKER: Thank you, Mr. Lieutenant Governor and members. I likewise rise in support of the Wehrbein amendment. I find it interesting, as a relative newcomer to the body, that kind of trying to piece together the origins of the TERC board, and it seems like he said, or they said, or I told you so, or whatever, and it's interesting. But I guess the bottom line to me is what's broken here. And I just...there's a dispute as to whether there is anything needs fixing, repairing. I supported the Landis amendment. Senator Beutler gave us what I consider some good information out of 3,000, roughly, cases, 10 of them have been reversed. That's a pretty good record if you're got 3,023 cases, 4 reversed by the Supreme Court, 6 by the court of appeals, I think that's great. And Senator Stuhr and I had a bill last year dealing with the elimination of the Ag Land Valuation Boards and it was pointed out to us at that time they were doing their part to keep at least the rural valuations pretty much equalized as best they could between counties out there on ag land valuation. We kind of got beat up on that bill and they continue to do their work. And actually they convinced me that they were valuable doing their work. So if we adopt the Wehrbein amendment, we still have the Landis amendment in there, and also the provision of the referees. So I think we're headed down the right trail. And for that reason I support the