

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 30, 2001 LB 543

other reasons, I probably could think of some that might justify,...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No,...

SENATOR ERDMAN: ...but my intentions are based on that. That's why I designated that money to go there.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. I thought Senator Erdman was as cagey as I am, that even though you have an amendment you know is not going to be adopted there is a point that you want to make with it. And I don't think much point is being made by Senator Erdman today, that's my opinion, because this is not going to give substantial property tax relief; the amendment is not going to be adopted. But if it was to show that, in your opinion, the university's budget is bloated, that money is hidden away in certain programs when the money is not needed there and it's going to be shifted from there to someplace else through a reallocation later, then that's a point that I think an amendment like this might go toward. And I'm not going to say those things necessarily are happening. But that's what I mean when I ask the question, is there another point other than the stated one contained in the amendment. Nevertheless, I do not believe the amendment is going anywhere. Because Senator Erdman is young, because Senator Erdman is wet behind the ears and because Senator Erdman will go to the dictionary to try to find the meaning of a word, he's not going to be alone on this vote. I'm going to vote with Senator Erdman on this motion. Young people must be encouraged. I'm not, by this vote, encouraging him to do those things that I think are inappropriate; offering an amendment is not inappropriate. By offering this vote for him I'm not trying to suggest that the university has done anything with its money other than what it says it's going to do. I just don't want him to be out there all alone. When somebody says "yes, sir" to me, (laugh) then that person is entitled to something by way of reciprocity. Now I cannot think of how I would respond to Senator Erdman. I would not call him...maybe I'd call him Young Blood, something along those lines. But this amendment fits into the category of those that makes the university tremble unnecessarily. I think the university trembles so quickly because it may feel somewhat