

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 30, 2001 LB 543

particularly mentioned in the constitution by way of a prohibition against the appropriate...appropriation of public funds to such schools. So I was wrong; they are mentioned. Now, Senator Maxwell pointed out that, well, that's fine, because this money goes to teachers, not to schools. Well, I think, and again I'm getting off into prowess that I don't really have, but I think one of the sort of principals that you operate on with constitutional law is that you can't do indirectly what you can't do directly. There may be a way, a route, you can come up with that you indirectly fund private schools with public monies. And you...one route around that, obviously, is to pay teachers or individuals rather than school systems. I don't agree with that for a number of reasons. And, by the way, I'm focusing on the private/public school issue here because of my error, not because I think this is the main issue with this amendment. I think the main issue revolves more toward focusing funding on the main salary benefit package we have for teachers. But certainly you could...you could say, well, an indirect route to get at this answer is to provide money to individuals, to teachers. You could go on and say, well, maybe we should provide it to parents, let's go to parents directly, that way we won't have to go to schools. It's still an indirect route at the same objective. I would remind you that, in our constitution, we have an obligation to provide a free public education for all children, I think between the ages of 5 and 21. The idea is, in our constitution, that we are going to fulfill that obligation by providing a public school alternative. In my view, that's what we should do. We should focus on providing a public school alternative. Now certainly, we shouldn't mandate that everybody go to a public school if they have another preference. They should, as we do now, be allowed to choose a private school if that's what they desire. But our obligation as a state, as written in the constitution, is to provide that public school alternative. That, I think, is what we should focus on and, moreover, in the case of this particular amendment, we shouldn't, I think as Senator Chambers has mentioned, go "scattergunning". We ought to focus what funds we have on the main issue before us, and that is the compensation package we have for teachers. So again, I oppose the Maxwell amendment. Thank you.