

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 25, 2001 LB 536

ABP (sic). To be against ABP (sic) is impossible without being against ADM. But since he has got to support...

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...this bill, he has got to see that ADM gets subsidies from this state. That doesn't make sense to me, nor does it make sense or dollars for Nebraska to subsidize the sham, bogus, hoax ethanol industry. I'm supporting Senator Bruning's amendment however.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: (Visitors introduced.) Senator Vrtiska.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members of the body. I rise in opposition to my good friend Senator Bruning's amendment for a number of reasons. First of all, I will give just a little history in the background of DEQ; it was formerly known as Department of Environmental Control, was changed to Environmental Quality. When the LUST Fund was started, when the cleanup was started, many of us know that there was such a mismanagement in that agency that many of us were ready to pull out and say we got to turn it over to somebody else. But new management out there changed all that, and today, as I understand it and from what I've seen, they're doing an excellent job. They're cleaning up our environment, they're doing things that certainly need to be done and there's a crying need to continue with the clean-up effort that's been started. And I would hate at this point, because of the way it's being managed, to deny them future funds to get the job done. We all know that the future protection of our ground water is important. Our kids, our grandkids are going to suffer if we don't find some way to clean up some of this problem that's developed. When you look at a map across the state of what's happened with the moving of the underground water into all parts of the state and the contaminants that are being collected into our ground water, you see that there's a crying need for something to be done. So I, at this point, even though I realize that the motive that Senator Bruning certainly had is laudable, I just don't think it's the right time to take money away and finish up a job that was started for the benefit of all the people of this state and certainly for the future of the