

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 25, 2001 LB 536

necessary to move this bill forward. What I'm trying to do is find a funding mechanism that makes this bill feasible. And people talk about their opposition to changing the LUST Fund and the amount of money that's in it. Well, I mentioned this yesterday or the day before when we last talked about this bill, in 1989, when this bill...when the LUST concept was introduced, Leaking Underground Storage Tank funding and cleanup, there was one-tenth of a cent per gallon on diesel and three-tenths of a cent per gallon on gasoline. Then we doubled that in 1992, two-tenths of a cent on diesel, six-tenths of a cent on gasoline, suddenly it's \$6 million; in '98 we raised it again, three-tenths on diesel, nine-tenths on gasoline. There's no magic to the number we've got right now, folks, there's no magic. There's a lot to be cleaned up, to be sure, but there's no magic to \$10.5 million versus the \$8 million that would still be there if we adopted this amendment. Now let me tell you where the LUST Fund is going. People say these LUST Funds are sacred, we can't change them, this is a sacred cow, don't touch the LUST Fund. Let me tell you in my research, and I'd be happy to show it to you, here it is one of my favorite companies, and I say that absolutely sarcastically because I despise this company, IBP, Inc., moved across the river with their headquarters so they no longer pay taxes in the state, one of the worst corporate citizens imaginable, babies up there that can't breathe because these jokers refuse to cover their lagoons. There is not a worse corporate citizen ever, in my opinion, in the history of Nebraska. IBP, in 1996, received \$301,669 for cleanup. Now tell me, is that necessary? I pulled...I just, off the Internet here, pulled their proxy statement, and their CEO, Robert Peterson, he's got 725,000 shares of IBP. This is 1999 figures, and I don't have the most recent figures. Mr. Peterson had a \$1 million annual salary and a \$6,316,000 annual bonus. Now tell me, folks, do you really want the taxpayers of Nebraska paying to help IBP cleanup a site they own? I don't, I don't. And I'll tell you what, if this amendment doesn't go, I'm going to put a needs test for the LUST Fund out as an amendment for your consideration on Select File, along with some funding mechanism for ethanol. Why are we not doing a needs test on the LUST Fund? I have no idea. Why is IBP taking these things in? And I understand, you know, \$6 million total. I guess that's \$7 million, \$1 million in