

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 18, 2001 LB 659

amendments, some technical aspects will be discussed in greater detail, but I just want to kind of give an overview during my presentation of this opening. I have talked to representatives of the county attorneys. They have some matters they would like to discuss with me, but because they have suggested that the bill has not received the amendments...or the amendments have not yet been attached they cannot go forward with certitude. But I can just about divine the types of issues they may want to raise. I'm not going to hold the bill up while we work our way through those matters, and I don't think we're that far apart anyway, but after the bill moves, which I expect it to do, there will be awhile before it comes up again on Select File. I will talk to the county attorneys, anybody else who has an interest in the bill. This is not the type of bill which I think should slide through without questions being answered that people may have. I and everybody else on this floor have a legitimate concern about people trying to abuse the opportunity created by this bill to attempt to establish innocence, but it's not the size of issue that some people might think. First of all, the vast majority of crimes are not going to involve evidence of a biological nature. If there is no biological evidence there is no DNA testing. DNA exists in saliva, blood, urine, body fluids, any tissue, hair, fingernails. Anything that's a part of you, your biological makeup, is going to contain DNA. The progress and advancements made in the technology now make it possible to take very minute amounts of biological evidence and test for the DNA. The tests are legitimate and of value. Some materials that had been tested in the past but did not bring forth conclusive results have been retested and the results now are conclusive, and that's why many people have been exonerated. The exonerations have occurred not just in capital cases, but rapes and other crimes where there was biological evidence left at the scene. Sometimes an improper conviction will occur, just as an improper arrest will take place, because of mistaken eyewitness testimony, the misidentification of an individual through photographs or line-ups. Witnesses sometimes have an interest in fabricating testimony. Snitches are known to lie to get benefits for themselves. There are prosecutors, believe it or not, who deliberately hold back exculpatory evidence or that which inclines toward mitigating the guilt of the defendant or suggesting that the defendant is innocent. I had mentioned that