

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 11, 2001 LB 507, 620

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: And so is...is that a concept that you would be comfortable doing? I would agree to write some audit provisions in here, and that would help serve your purpose and establish a precedent to do that and would show that's a good policy, and it would ultimately help LB 507 down the line.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Well, if we had the audit provisions that are in the amendment, and those cover areas that I think are important, if you...I wouldn't...I want to be careful about what we might suggest to our colleagues here because I...I think you intend that we would have a meaningful audit process, but just to have an audit and call it an audit...

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: I would...I would take some of...I would...your...your scope and concept has no problems to me as it applies to LB 620 and its projects.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: All right.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: What I'm...what I'm having and uncomfortable about is that you're going to do this to all the LB 775 projects and Quality Job projects that have nothing to do with LB 620,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: ...and the cost of doing that is going to go on the A bill of this.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Wickersham. Senator Tyson, on the Wickersham amendment.

SENATOR TYSON: Thank you, Senator Cudaback. Members of the body, I rise in opposition to AM1477 to LB 620, somewhat along the lines that Senator Kristensen just mentioned. This is spending...incidentally, I went to the gizmo, the desk set. There is no intent. There is no fiscal note. There is no Committee Statement. There is no original text. There is a proposed amendment, which is now an amendment to LB 620, and there is the status. So this comes for consideration in the middle of what can only be characterized as a major bill, and I