

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 11, 2001      LB 75, 462

SENATOR ERDMAN: Thank you, Mr. President. Members, I've talked a couple times on this issue and obviously have some strong feelings on it, as do many of the members either side of this issue, and it seems like most of the time I spend correcting some of the comments that were made and I will briefly do that and then yield my time to a senator. We're talking about these groups that benefit from the research are the people and, you know, once again, we're talking about the argument of proresearch, anti-research, and I'll try and use some logic and someone can stand up behind me and refute my logic if I'm wrong. But, as I mentioned yesterday, the National Alzheimer's Association, although it supports fetal tissue research under certain guidelines, it has never funded a research project involving human fetal tissue in more than 15 years that it has been funding research. We knew that. I said that yesterday on the record. But here's another one. According to the American Parkinson's Disease Association in Staten Island, New York, it has not and does not currently fund any research involving human fetal tissue. And you want to know why? Well, they say so. The reason given was that there was another...or there was other promising research that does not involve ethical concerns of human fetal tissue research, so that's what we're talking about. We've heard people talk about embryonic stem cell research. That's not what this bill is about. We had a "minisymposium" at the University of Nebraska-Lincoln. We had a number of individuals, both from the university, who obviously have a vested interest in their livelihood in seeing the continuation of research as far as their employment, and alternatives were brought up and no one could dispute them. In fact, nobody even wanted to acknowledge that they were there so they wouldn't even have to be accountable to the fact that there were alternatives. So that brings me to my logic and we'll see if this...this holds true. All research in science, because yesterday I believe I was accused of being against science, includes fetal tissue research from induced or elective abortions. So that means that with Senator Connealy's bill, LB 75, which is based on research in science, there's human fetal tissue research used there. So that...we know that's not true, so it's not all research. So the next logical conclusion would be then that some research in science includes fetal tissue research from induced and elective