

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 15, 2001 LB 574

at that point either, but I think it would be more understood, more well received by the public, and so for all of those reasons, and to be quite blunt, not because I don't think that I can't win this amendment or win this particular part of the battle, I think I can, maybe I'm misjudging my colleagues but I think the votes are there, but I think, considering all of those factors and after further discussions with the Department of Motor Vehicles who very much want to move ahead as quickly as they possibly can to offer us a digital driver's license, which will still have...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.

SENATOR BROMM: ...all of the advantages that we've spoken of, other than this particular identifier characteristic, it will have the digital signature, it will have the digital photo, it will be able to be electronically renewed, and all of those things, and for those reasons, Mr. President, I'm going to ask the Clerk to withdraw my amendment to the amendment.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: The amendment is withdrawn. Mr. Clerk, item on the desk?

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Chambers would then move to amend his amendment. (FA105, Legislative Journal page 1081.)

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Chambers,...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President,...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: ...you're recognized to open.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. Mr. President, members of the Legislature and Senator Bromm, in addition to the language relative to biometric identifiers in my amendment, that term appears in other parts of the bill, so the amendment that I'm offering here simply says it would strike the reference to biometric identifiers wherever it occurs. That's what this amendment does.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Debate on the