

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 15, 2001 LB 574

and a situation where a person says you have biological material from the crime scene, and I believe that a test of that will show that I couldn't have been the person. You are making the use of this technology apply to a specific case based on specific evidence that is to be tested.

SENATOR BROMM: Right. Okay. And I...and I think that's a valid point as well, but I would come back and say, with respect to the use of the facial contour maps,...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.

SENATOR BROMM: ...the use of that would be if it were used by law enforcement, which is analogous to the DNA situation, would be only pursuant to a valid search warrant that would have to be issued; and secondly that I would argue that using a facial contour map is simply an advancement in technology, not much different than having your photo, having everyone's photo on your license. Used to be we had everyone's Social Security number, which I think we've learned is not a good thing. But I think having the digital photo and the ability to more specifically identify the person as being the person in that photo, at a later time, has all kinds of advantages from the standpoint of avoiding fraud and preventing...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Time.

SENATOR BROMM: ...duplication that we see now. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Bromm. Senator Chambers, on the Bromm amendment to the Chambers amendment to LB 574.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. Mr. President, members of the Legislature, Senator Bromm put a time bomb into his earlier discussions. He wanted to see if anybody was listening to what he said. Not only do I read these bills, not only do I read the amendments, I listen to my colleagues and I wanted somebody else to call attention to it. Senator Bromm said that this mathematical construct would be done algebraically. It will be algorithmically, "Al Gore-rithmically". I picked that up,