

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 13, 2001 LB 114

order that I can keep myself in check, I brought some accoutrements, Senator Redfield, to help me. When I'm in my usual mode of taking time, I will keep track of it by means of this calendar because that's how much time I'm going to take. If I, though, can be put into a collegial mode, then I will simply use this very ornate, very expensive hourglass. And what I intend to do is turn it over and let the sands begin to fall. The speaker demonstrated to me as I was coming down this morning that he is more cognizant of some things than I am because he mentioned a program called "Days of Our Lives". As the sands fall through the hourglass, so are the days of our lives. So there are many things that people know that I'm not familiar with, but when it comes to a matter that we're discussing today, and will be discussing for days to come, I do have a bit of knowledge, a bit of information, and I intend to use my time to do that and use the Legislature's time. As I read the newspaper, I see that there are plenty of people who want this discussion to continue. People on the floor have said they want it to continue. You know that I'm of a mind to have it continue. Now generally, some of my colleagues think that bad press makes a difference to me. It doesn't, nor does what is called good press. But from what I see in the World-Herald this morning, it looks like the Legislature might be getting bad press. In talking about this bill, this paragraph is found: Chambers targeted a section of the bill in which teenagers showing signs of alcohol consumption and found near alcohol would be presumed to have been drinking, although they would be allowed to take a breath test to prove their innocence. It mentions then that the bill was introduced by Senator Quandahl, to give him his good press. Continuing: Chambers of Omaha said the measure is un-American. Quote, the presumption in America is innocence, he said. Presuming someone guilty until they're proven innocent is unconstitutional, even if the Legislature is boneheaded enough to put it into law. And people are going to latch onto that because although some of my colleagues don't know that under the criminal law, a person is presumed to be innocent, the public knows because they watch television. So what they're going to remember is that the Legislature is boneheaded, with this bad bill. But that's not all in the article. Another paragraph says the following: Quote, supporters said they were ready to spend time on the bill. They