

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

February 21, 2001 LB 329

by the way, we've tried poney-baloney lids in the past. We had them in the school areas and people laughed at them while as we built bigger and bigger holes at the water line of that ship and after a while we realized it was a lid in name only. And the public got tired of that and, as a matter of fact, they went to the ballot to try to get us to be more serious when the last time we created a lid that wasn't genuine or effective. We need to have an effective lid if it's going to be a lid. So one thing that we're doing is we're consistent with the fact that we wanted to have a lid and, number two, we're consistent with saying if we're getting money from sources other than property taxes, use that money, if possible, to offset the growth of property taxes, and this puts the occupation tax money into the same pot, and the net effect of that will be to drive property taxes down. Senator Bromm may want flexibility but I'll tell you, I don't think you get flexibility piece by piece. If you embrace flexibility, like I might since I'm not a conservative, I might undo the lid. That's not something that I...that's beyond the pale of me to consider. I might do that. I will tell you this. If you have a lid, you ought to be true to it, you ought not to build in little escape hatches from it. You ought to own up to the fact that it's a lid and you ought to own up to the agenda of trying to lower property taxes. And if you don't want to do that, then you ought to name it and say it...

SENATOR CUDABACK PRESIDING

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR LANDIS: ...and do it. But I would suggest not saying one thing and doing another, and that's what this amendment does.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Landis. For discussion to reconsider a motion, those wishing to speak are Senators Bourne, Beutler, Redfield, Hilgert, Coordsen and Senator Wickersham. Senator Bourne.

SENATOR BOURNE: Thank you, Mr. President, members. I rise in support of Senator Schimek's reconsideration motion and I appreciate her bringing that. I don't agree with Senator