

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 20, 2001 LB 376

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, because this is a bill in which I have no interest, I'm sure that the amendment is the one that I refer to as the Chambers amendment, which indicates that whatever federal rule or regulation is being incorporated will be as of the effective date of this act. I ask that the amendment be adopted.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Discussion on the Chambers amendment? Senator Foley.

SENATOR FOLEY: If Senator Chambers would yield to a question.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Chambers, would you yield?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, I will.

SENATOR FOLEY: Senator, I appreciate the fact that you continue to offer this amendment, the same amendment on quite a number of pieces of legislation. I appreciate why you're doing it and I just can't help but wonder, as a new member of this body, if there isn't an more generic way of just uniformly getting that language into these bills on a regular basis without your having to do this time and time again.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, Senator Foley, I had thought about that too. What would have to be done, perhaps, is to draft a bill which would...but, no, here...let me tell you the problem. Some senators have a strategy when they put things in a bill or leave things out of a bill, so I had even thought about saying that when a piece of legislation is being construed, any time it refers to a federal statute or regulation or whatever, it means as of the effective date of that bill, but that is putting something into a senator's bill that he or she may not want. Sometimes senators offer bills which they don't really think ought to be put into law but somebody brings it to them. So, by leaving out a significant element, that becomes a basis for saying the bill ought not be enacted at this time because it contains a flaw. So I decided, rather than put into the statute an obligation on the bill drafter or those who are going to construe the law something that a senator may not want in his or