

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 2, 2001 LB 198

after the session is over. I've got an amendment up there I think that might serve the purpose better and I wonder if the...well, I'd like...can I read that then, Patrick? Is that... Senator Chambers, do you...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR DIERKS: If you would amend LB 198 as follows: on page 8, line 6, after the word "Supplies," insert "1999 Revision," and on line 9, after "Shippers," the same thing, "1999 Revision". The amendment refers to a revision that we are adopting in reference to the 1999 Revision. With your amendment we could effectively adopt the 2001 Revisions without any action here within our body and also this would...I think this would...if you look at Section 1 of the bill, page 2, line 20...lines 17 to 21, it would make it consistent with that section; page 2, line 17 and 20...through...17 through 21.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Do you want me to respond?

SENATOR DIERKS: Yes.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, Senator Dierks, since it is your bill, whatever way you want to pin it down I have no objection to, and whatever you want to refer to I have no objection to, just so it's clear that there is a specific existing item that we're making reference to, and whatever that reference is, as long as it's pinned down to that, I certainly don't have any objection. And if you didn't want this amendment at all I wouldn't object. All I'm doing is calling attention to these bills that have the open-ended references and am offering a way to correct that, but if any of these bills that I talk about is being introduced by somebody who objects to the amendment I'm offering, I'm not going to fight for the amendment because I have no interest in the bills or how the introducer would like to handle it. So, Senator Dierks, what ever way you have determined is the best to do only what you want the bill to do, in other words, deal with certain existing regulations or whatever and not have an amendment that