

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 30, 2001 LB 225

that we've heard and the handout Senator Landis gave us relates to venture capital, this is talking about businesses that don't even meet this definition so maybe you can leave it in and just don't call it a high-growth business, because you say new and high-growth business enterprises. So if it's just a new business of any kind it doesn't have to meet the definition anyway, so what did they put the definition in for? Because it's sloppy drafting and they did not think logically about what they're doing. If it's not a business that meets the definition but it's going to be covered by the bill, why have a definition? That's what I'm talking about. Maybe I chew things too fine. Maybe because my concern is with...

SENATOR CUDABACK PRESIDING

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...well-crafted legislation, is beyond the grasp of some of my colleagues. We will put language into a law that we know is not the best language that we can get, that does not even achieve the purpose that such language is to serve. If this is the definition on which other things are going to depend, why don't you define other businesses that don't have to meet the definition? Or is it that any business that doesn't meet this definition is covered, yes, by the language of the bill. You don't even need this definition, and nobody who seeks assistance under this bill has to even meet this standard. They do not have to meet it and that's based on the language of the bill.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. We are debating the Chambers amendment to LB 225. Senator Hilgert, on that amendment.

SENATOR HILGERT: Thank you, Mr. President, members. I was...I took the easy road and stayed off it yesterday, frankly. The ice was pretty bad in Omaha and even the sand truck couldn't get up my front street, so I decided to stay home. But I did monitor the debate yesterday and did say...see some of the emotion that started rising regarding this bill. But I would like to ask an unemotional question of Senator Landis, one that is purely informational, if he would yield to it.