

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 30, 2001 LB 225

legislation, fine. We just have different standards. He's in the Governor's camp. The Governor has given him his marching orders. He's got to defend what the Governor told him to do. The difference between my colleagues and me is that if I agree to work with somebody on an issue they don't draft the legislation and I say, well, I'm going to stick with what you gave me; if it needs work I'm going to work it. I have a bill that I'm working on with the Ombudsman right now on inmate healthcare. I've substantially rewritten it before it was even offered. That's what I did. Then I rewrote it some more. And there was some input from other groups and interests and what they said made sense, so there was additional rewriting. To have this silliness of saying these words are in the green copy and Chambers wants to change it so he can improve a bill so don't do it, that is silly in itself. If the language is not the best language but because I'm offering the amendment therefore you won't adopt it, fine, but I want to put in the record what my position is. And I guess that's why I get quoted by courts and Senator Landis does not, and other members on the floor. But I think the whole approach that the administration has told Senator Landis to take on this bill is preposterous, and you know why I say the administration told him to take this approach? Because this is a new thing that Senator Landis is doing. I've not seen him act like that on bills in the past. So my remarks are based on what the Governor has dictated. I'm going to offer every amendment that I think ought to be offered. If the body agrees, they will support it. If they disagree, they won't. But if you look at the existing language, high-growth business enterprise means a business enterprise which experiences this high rate of growth, nobody who came before the committee can talk about it, nobody on this floor can lay out such a business which is doing this in the state of Nebraska, in my opinion. Union Pacific isn't doing it. ConAgra is not doing it. You know that First Data is not doing it. Companies are laying off employees. They're talking about the need to cut back. So, because the Governor's Office is irritated with me, you're going to put a definition in a bill that fits no business in this state, yet it's somehow to play into the criterion for determining which businesses are affected by this legislation. If you don't like the definition, correct it, do away with it altogether. Now, while all of this talk