

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 11, 2000 LB 652, 850

you for your time.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Schrock. Senator Kristensen, on the motion to override.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Legislature. I don't want to extend the debate. The comments I made earlier, I think, apply to this bill as well. This bill has some very good policy decisions in it. I realize it has had a tremendous amount of work put into it. There is a point in time though when you have to look at where we're going to spend that money, this I believe to be one of those bills. The other policy choice that we make is that we don't spend much of the money in the first year or two and that the bill has, as it goes forward, a large price tag to it. No one says that's not true, no one disagrees with that. It's a fact that the policy choice is you're going to spend money that has no basis, from what I see, of a determination of need as to does the money go to the places that really need it? My guess is that it's an across-the-board one. I realize where the benefits are going to be. My choice is not to deny those benefits, but at some point in time I have to make a choice. I made the choice on Final Reading, I'm going to make it again today, that I simply can't afford to have a program like this. I realize that I can be criticized for saying well, we've done a lot of things and this is good policy. It is good policy, there are good things in here, mine is simply a determination of money. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Kristensen. Senator Tyson, on the motion to override.

SENATOR TYSON: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the body. In the last session, 1999, I introduced a bill, LB 850, which the...had for its title "The Regional Juvenile Detention Act", because the problem that addressed...that was addressed in LB 850 and the problem that is addressed in LB 652 is a serious problem and a serious drain on the resources of the counties and it's ongoing, it's not going to go away. The counties are bearing costs that they have no control over. A little bit off the subject, but a number of years ago the Supreme Court dictated, dictated, mandated that the sheriff's department would provide security in courts. But, of course, they didn't send