

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 10, 2000 LB 1279

to preclude locomotion without the regular use of a mechanical aid or prosthesis, do you understand...and I...and you might find that to be a strained reading, but I...when I looked at it, the first time, that's the reading I got. Maybe part of the reason is that I remember what the discussions were and I'm making it say what I think it said, and I clearly could be doing that, but that was the reading that I held of it. It's a legitimate policy discussion to make. I don't know what the cost of this is going to be. But I don't want the homestead program either to be opened wide open, without us making the appropriate decision. If we've done it because we've made a mistake, then we pay the bill, I agree. If we fix it, then that's not our intentions, I think we ought to do that, or that's my choice that I'm going to do today. But I would agree that if you have agencies out there that are rewriting rules and regulations, based on what they think the policy should be or shouldn't be, that's not good. And I put some of that aside, because at this point I don't know how to make that difference that here the change...nothing is going to happen then, Senator Chambers, to the Revenue Department, unfortunately. And that's...I mean, I can get all riled, too, at them, because that frustrates me. Because it may not be this bill now. It will probably be a bill...if I'd ever get that broadcasters bill passed and signed, maybe they might take the rules and regs and rewrite it to what they think it should be. Now, quite frankly, then I'd be angry at the way they're doing it. The bottom line is they should look at what the law is and write the rules and regs to the law. Nobody can disagree with that. Our problem is that we're faced here, what do I do now with a change and an opportunity to change the law, to put it like I thought it was? That's what I'm going to vote to do today. But there is certainly an interpretation. I think you could look at this and say, you know, if I've lost all mobility, I ought to get a homestead. I...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: ...that was not the purpose of doing it. And if you read it that way, a permanent physical disability, I don't know what the definition of that is, if you don't read it all together. And I think we ought to make the change here but, if we choose not to, I guess we let the chips fall and there