

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

April 3, 2000

LB 1217

the number of people that are affected when we take care of one person in one of those places. Thank you.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Raikes.

SENATOR RAIKES: Thank you, Mr. Speaker and members. I would like to ask Senator Wickersham a question or two if he would yield.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Wickersham, would you respond? Senator Wickersham. Senator Raikes is asking you a question.

SENATOR RAIKES: Senator Wickersham, I'm looking at the General Fund Financial Status Sheet that Senator Wehrbein handed out. I heard you mention the number minus \$55 million. I assume that's rather than minus 49 because we've got some additional General File appropriations that may bring that to minus 55, is that correct?

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Well, Senator Raikes, what I will have to admit is remembering another day's status.

SENATOR RAIKES: Okay, well, minus 50, minus 55,...

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Yeah.

SENATOR RAIKES: ...we'll operate in that range. Let me ask you this. I asked this question to Senator Wehrbein. It would be legal to have a minus...or a plus \$7.6 million over the reserve in this biennium and a minus 50 in the next one. Would you think that would be a good idea?

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: No.

SENATOR RAIKES: Why is that?

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Well, obviously, it's desirable to be above the minimum reserve in the current biennium, but having a minus 50 or minus 55 or a minus 100 in the out years is...is just asking for trouble.

SENATOR RAIKES: Let me...let me ask you this. Now is it the