

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

March 10, 2000 LB 1107

years felt the burden for public education continuing to increase. So it's not just looking to rural senators, it's looking to all of us who have a significant number of people who own their homes, who over the years have felt that burden, and reacting to that say, as a state, the burden will not be any greater than this for those of you who especially are on a limited income, want to remain in your home and continue wanting to support the public schools. So Senator Wickersham's bill does more for the two groups that I have held out as an example than Senator Raikes' amendment. And so as you think about, in your district, who it impacts, it's simply not a rural-urban debate, because those of you in many of the urban districts, Lincoln, Omaha, many of the other urban districts, certainly have a significant number of people on a fixed income, wanting to remain in their homes, wanting to support public schools, and this would make sure that that support does not continue to grow from property tax as valuations may increase.

SENATOR JANSSEN: One minute.

SENATOR BOHLKE: With that, I will conclude my remarks. Thank you.

SENATOR JANSSEN: Thank you, Senator Bohlke. Senator Bromm.

SENATOR BROMM: Thank you, Senator Janssen. Been trying to listen to as much of the debate as possible. And was somewhat confused. I thought maybe my thinking was off base on this whole deal. I have been supportive of LB 1107, and in fact almost was talked into making it my priority bill by my seatmate here, and, boy, am I glad I didn't. But just kidding. I view it this way, when we did LB 1114 and we put in these levy limits of \$1.10 and we're going to \$1 and so forth, we were attempting to make some adjustments that would bring property tax into the realm of reasonableness, more so than they had been in recent years. I think that was the whole thrust of LB 1114, not only in the levy limits for schools, but for other tax needs as well, counties, cities, what have you. Now the missing component that we always had in LB 1114, as far as I'm concerned, and what has exactly happened is that we didn't allow for the terrific increase in valuations of real property that could occur in a short period of time for a variety of reasons. And so this last