

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 1, 2000

LB 921

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Me.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So if we just drop the "w" and change it to "m"...

SENATOR BRASHEAR: You know, I'm a power...I'm a sole power in the Judiciary Committee, you know that, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: (Laugh) Yes, you are, and you're a soul man on the floor, Senator Brashear, s-o-u-l. Now, when we get on page 12 to line 24, where we insert the words "making of the" final order, why is it necessary to insert those words, "making of the" final order, rather than just leaving the "final order"?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Senator Chambers, I'm sorry, would you reference me again.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, page 12, line 24, after the word "or" we insert "making of the", which would then make it read, "making of the final order" instead of just the two words "final order". Is this for clarification or does it add something?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: It's for...it's editorial, it's clarification, it's simply making it read as those who do those things would have it be.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. The reason I'm putting this into the record, should that become a discussing point then I want it to be clear what our understanding was when we put the language in. It's not designed to change any meaning of the language that precedes it or follows it.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: It is not.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. Then, if we go to page 13, in line 16, after the word "submitted" is the word "to". We strike the word "to" and insert the words...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ..."for decision in". Is...