

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

January 25, 2000 LB 120

other. So all of these things have to be worked out under the terms of the Parenting Act and they have to be worked out on joint custody. I don't like this amendment because it changes "shall" to "may". I want it to have some teeth in it and if you change it to "may" I don't believe it does. This isn't a Shirley Temple movie that we're talking about, friends. This isn't where little Shirley goes up and sits on the judge's lap and sings a little song and dances a little dance, and then the judge says, you two parents, how come you aren't taking care of this child right? You take her out of here and you take care of her right, and I never want to see you again. That isn't the way it works, because the child is caught between those parents so often, the child or children, and the children become very confused. And so when the parents decide that...there are people who fall in love and think each other is great, and for some reason, after they sit across the breakfast table for a certain length of time every day, or try to live together, they can't live together, unfortunately. By that time they've had some children. The children are what we're talking about here. The children are the ones that we should be thinking about, not necessarily where these two people hate each other or whatever. If they are reasonable people and can sit down and work out a plan where both have a say about the parenting and about the parenting of those children, I cannot see why that isn't all to the good. Children need both parents. So I have some cases in particular...I have a local case where the couple has and did have terrible, terrible differences. They absolutely could not agree on anything. But they worked out a plan for parenting, and it's working, it's working. They are...they are taking care of the children in joint custody and it is working. We have cases, and the study is in your packet, on page 2, consistent with other studies of joint and sole custody, our joint, legal residential "noncustodians" were decidedly more involved with their children, following divorce, than were "noncustodians" in sole custody arrangements. The conflict between divorcing parents in the sample that was taken didn't appear to worsen as a result of the increased demand for interparental cooperation and communication, in joint legal or joint residential custody arrangements. Read some of those cases, because I think it points up the fact that people can figure out a plan, they can live with it, they can take care of the children jointly and agree what is best for those children. That's the whole crux of