

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 14, 1999

LB 179

significant physical disability. Senator Robak and others have asserted that there are other kinds of disabilities that ought to be considered. So I think that there are a number of issues that we need to address. We will try to do that over the summer and try to bring back to you our best recommendations for a more comprehensive approach.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Thank you, Senator Wickersham. There are no further lights on. Senator Hilgert, did you wish to say anything in closing on your motion to return?

SENATOR HILGERT: Yes, Mr. President, I would. When we talk about...you know, someone who's not disabled has their whole life to save, has their whole life's opportunity to pay off their home. That's why one of the reasons I believe that someone who's been disabled and has not been able to earn the money that they would had they been able-bodied, if you will, deserves a break. I appreciate Senator Wickersham's commitment to the study. I would certainly hope that any product doesn't go the other way and make it a leaner, but perhaps I agree and hope that it does become a more fair system. And I have confidence that our Chairman of our Revenue Committee will achieve such an objective. As far as the comments made that this would exacerbate our spending in our budget, I would again remind the body that we do have other bills to consider that do expend money beyond the figure that's suggested in my amendment. And no matter how hard and difficult these issues are, I think it is far harder to be an aged disabled Nebraskan trying to survive today. I thought about pulling the amendment, frankly, but I'm not. But I would ask the body a couple of things. One, I will not ask for a call of the house, I'm not going to ask for a roll call vote, but I would like a sense of the body about their concern about their issue, to provide perhaps some more urgency, if you will, those terms were used when we discussed the study and the moratorium, some more urgency, if you will, to the study that the Revenue Committee is going to take. So I would ask the body, again, I'm not going to ask for a roll call vote, I'm not going to ask for a call of the house, but if the body would consider, instead of voting red, perhaps not voting or abstaining from voting, that might send a clear signal that we don't want to do what I'm proposing, but we do want to address this inequity that exists today in our state for our