

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 5, 1999

LR 18

of them that we regret. We've had other people who've tried to do things to the constitution, some of those we liked, some we certainly didn't like. But the issue keeps revolving about...and a fundamental issue is, how easy should it be to change the constitution? And we have studied, I know Senator Schimek has done it, I know others have done it, have been on task force that studied the petition process. And when paid petitioners came about all of a sudden the constitution appeared to be for sale. If you had enough money you could buy your way on. Now that's not always been true. Last year was a good example of that money couldn't buy you a place in history into that constitution, but it certainly showed that it was not a problem to get there and to have the issue before the voters. This is not a response to Initiative 413. This is not a response to Initiative 414. If there are those of you who think that it is, you should look at the history of this measure. This has been before the committee long before Initiative 413 was ever thought of. It was advanced out of the committee and the trouble is that it's always been one that we've run out of time. We've had it as far as Select File but ran out of time. That's the reason that I made it a Speaker priority bill this year, is that we're...we were at the point this year where there was major changes that could have been done to the constitution, not only with Initiative 413 but Initiative 414. If you look at the years before that, we've also had constitutional amendments in this body that we've passed out that maybe we wish we'd not done, or we wish we'd taken some time and looked at. So how do you...what do you do and how do you do it? The constitution should not be easy to change. We've tried to clean it up in spots. We have a constitutional amendment this year to make it gender neutral and so on. We have an amendment out here to deal with the Lieutenant Governor and how they're proposed. Those things are certainly, in my mind, good policy. But also with that comes other things that are easily and "faddishly" put before us and sometimes slip through without us realizing what was there behind it, who was behind it, what money was behind it, or maybe that we acted too hastily. This is an amendment that comes from several years of study. It is a Constitutional Revision Commission suggestion. Senator Warner and Senator Withem and I introduced it I believe shortly after that, I think in the 1997 Session, and it came out, and here's what it does. It requires that before a constitution...our constitution is