

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 28, 1999 LB 382

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: We'd have to refer back to the discussion I had with Senator Raikes. I don't know that they're ever, quote, profitable in the sense that they generate a current operating surplus. In fact, I think most of the history of these operations is that they do not, in and of themselves, generate an operating surplus.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So, if you issue a revenue bond, where is revenue going to come from if you don't...

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: They would be general obligation bonds.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So what do we put revenue bonds in here for? Is that to trick people into thinking that there's...this thing's going to generate revenue?

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: You...there...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: We define...revenue bonds are defined.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Yeah. Okay, but there is a potential for...there is a potential for issuing general obligation bonds in Section 11.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I know that and that's what I'd like to...

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...attack. So the expectation is that this is not going to make a profit. Isn't that true?

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Senator, I want to be careful. I've never operated one of these things. I don't know how to operate one of these things. But, again, my recollection of reading an article some months ago in a newspaper in a slightly different...in a different configuration where it also contained an arena, I don't think they were protecting any operating surplus.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Right, they're not going to. So why do you reckon they put a definition of revenue bonds on page 3, starting in line 25?