

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 27, 1999 LB 652, 880

that were put in place a few years ago, and accelerated a few years ago. But they don't...it doesn't take it away, it's still out there. You have choices on the YRTC's and, as I see it, there are three choices. First, you could go with the bill as originally presented in LB 652, which requires raising the cigarette tax. Let me tell you what I've heard from you. Some people don't think we should build facilities with cigarette tax money. And although they know and fully support something happening for Kearney and Geneva, they're concerned about making a commitment through the cigarette tax. When I put the bill in place and use the cigarette tax, I made that decision because I was concerned that I couldn't get an A bill for this purpose. This is in an area that's been long neglected. It's a difficult area to spend money on. And I had been listening, as all of you did as the budget discussions began, and the budget was proposed, that it would be a very lean, mean budget this year, that there wouldn't be money for many new things. So it wasn't proposed with an A bill because, quite frankly, I didn't feel we could move it. What I'm hearing now is, well, maybe we can find money in the budget, but we'll have to increase the budget to do that. So your second choice is to increase the budget and put money in for these facilities. The third choice, which is the one before you, is to say and make some hard decisions that perhaps we now realize, and through the numerous discussions we've had on this issue, that this is a matter of priorities. And it might be more important to take the money that was designated for this purpose, let it ride...let that project wait a couple years, put this project ahead of it because of the overburdened juvenile facilities of this state that need design improvements. I just came through a campaign year where I was hearing what a lot of...what half of you heard, and that is that we're not making hard decisions. We just keep adding on. We can't say no, we have huge budgets, and we keep increasing, and we're not good stewards of the taxpayers' money. And I don't agree with that, because I've served here a year, and I've watched the concern, and I've watched what you've done. But I think this year we do want to have a very tight budget. I think we've gotten the message from the voters, and we need to make those hard decisions. This is a hard decision because it means going against some of the process that our colleagues have been through, and it means taking away from a project that some people in a part of the state are going to have concerns about.