

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 13, 1999 LB 505

Class V misdemeanor to be in possession of tobacco, so it is on the books as we thought it was, but a Class V misdemeanor. And I agree with Senator Bruning entirely, I could certainly support enforcing the tobacco law as it exists now, but it obviously is not being enforced. I consistently see kids come into our store with designer jeans and \$150 tennis shoes and so on, and I tend to agree with the four students that Senator Bruning talked to, a 50 cent or \$1 a pack increase in tax is not going to stop those kids. It's just...it's amazing how much money children...it seems like in this day and age, how much money they do have to spend on those things. I had a question for Senator Landis, real briefly, if he would yield to a question.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: Yeah.

SENATOR BAKER: And that question is, what has tobacco consumption done in the last few years?

SENATOR LANDIS: Tobacco consumption the last few years has gradually gone down about a point a year.

SENATOR BAKER: Okay, thank you. And my point being we just went through roughly a 50 cent per pack increase when the tobacco settlement was announced, last...I believe last fall. And I checked, we have not seen any decrease. That's a 25 percent increase in the price of a pack of cigarettes. We were selling tobacco products by the...the package for roughly \$2.15, and it went up 50 cents, so we're about a 25 percent price increase. We saw no change in sales, none. In fact there was a time we thought we were selling more tobacco, because we didn't raise our price as much as some of the competitors did. And also this graph from Senator Matzke points to me that tobacco tax, from 1970 to 1994, went up 140 percent, this is roughly \$5 billion to \$12 billion. Consumption, at the same time, I shouldn't say consumption, revenue to the tobacco industry went up 500 percent. So we increased taxes 140 percent, revenue to the tobacco industry went up 500 percent. And, of course, I don't think that should be labeled consumption but revenue, as it's labeled, went up drastically more than taxes. So there's apparently not a