

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 30, 1999 LB 822

thereby try to get some handle or develop some ability or plan in the area to support and retain the quality of the water. As the amendment reads, the department shall regularly monitor. In order to understand what is meant there, I want to be clear that I don't intend for the department to monitor any more than once every five years, because that right now, believe it or not, is their regular monitoring cycle. Right now the department has three full-time people and some part-time summer help to monitor all of the surface water, the lakes and streams of this entire state. And these three people can't even come close to doing this job in any one year, nor can they, in my opinion, even come close to doing an adequate job once every five years. And so perhaps one of the healthy things that could come out of this process is some additional assistance to the department in terms of having some real people there to do the work of monitoring. But right now the 13 river basins of the state, it's my understanding, are divided up so that two to three of them are handled or monitored in any one year. And so on a five-year basis they make it around to all the river basins. But on a...and I would use this word, and I don't think it's totally unfair on a somewhat perfunctory basis, because there are several types of water tests that can be given and are given relating to nutrients in the water, relating to the biology of the water, relating to sediments, relating to a large number of things. They can't, when they go around to one or two or three river basins, they certainly can't take these tests in every stream that exists in those river basins, nor can they give every test. And they have severe limitations in terms of the depth of the testing. And beyond that, there is a complaint system in effect, and in any one given year there may be 20 to 50 different types of complaints that they would be required to respond to. So, it's a division of the department that, frankly, is in much of the same state that the livestock waste permitting section was in a year ago, before we added 13 or 14 people there in order to actually do that job. And so that's what we're dealing with. But I wanted you to know that that part of the amendment that says they shall regularly monitor, I am not envisioning that they would do more than include the trout streams on their five-year cycle. The consultation with Game and Parks is no more than I think we all would expect in a situation where the key objective is to maintain the trout populations and, of course, Game and Parks is our premier source