

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

March 23, 1939 LB 835

out, ironically, to be somewhat of a two-edged sword in that better market information, better price information is desirable. One of the ways you get better prices, better price information is to have more people participate in negotiating those prices. But as you have more people participate and have better information, more people are encouraged to avoid the costs of participating in the negotiations and so markets become thin. This is a phenomena that has a fairly long history in livestock markets. I certainly commend the Agriculture Committee for attempting to address it, but I would point out it's a difficult one to address. A couple other points I would make and I'm a little bit concerned that we specifically identify cattle pricing arrangements and hog pricing arrangements separately in the legislation. I guess maybe that's necessary. I would prefer that we could...we could refer to the concepts and not have to mention the specific commodities, but maybe that's not possible. I would suggest though that one of the difficulties you run into is that the legislation is aimed at current arrangements, and so you...if you put that in law, then you're faced with the difficulty of keeping up with whatever current arrangement there is. And if you outlaw a current arrangement that is convenient to some one or another, well, then there's a chance those arrangements will change and you have to chase it with laws. I'll make just another couple of comments about what I think...and I think I'm in agreement with Senator Dierks on this, and the objectives, as far as state government involved in pricing of agricultural products, would be market access. I think it would be...it's very desirable for producers and buyers, for that matter, to all have access to the market, and so whatever we can do to improve that I think is a worthy goal. We need representative prices as well and, as I just mentioned, representative prices often involve extensive participation and sometimes the better price you have and the better you report it the less likely you are to have a lot of participation. Finally, I would mention the importance of competitive transaction costs. I think both buyers and sellers are compelled, because of competition, to keep the costs that they incur as low as possible. So I think those are reasonable goals, objectives, and I think that this legislation is an attempt to get at those. It is a tough problem, I would mention. One other thing I would point out, there's...one...one...one of the ways to make an effort more