

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 23, 1999 LB 495

Senator Janssen, also. Senator Schmitt and Senator Schrock, please check in. Senators Matzke, Schrock and Hartnett, please check in. The house is under call. Senator Schrock and Senator Matzke, please check in. Senator Kiel, did you wish to wait? Senator Kiel says to go ahead so, Mr. Clerk, call the roll.

ASSISTANT CLERK: (Roll call vote taken. See page 1164 of the Legislative Journal.) The vote is 19 ayes, 15 nays on the adoption of Senator Kiel's amendment, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Kiel's amendment is not adopted and I will raise the call. Mr. Clerk, next amendment, please.

ASSISTANT CLERK: Senator Chambers would move to amend. (See FA70 on page 1165 of the Legislative Journal.)

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Chambers, you are recognized to open on your amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, this is an item which I had discussed earlier. If you look on page 2 of Senator Stuhr's amendment, in line 23, you'll find the words "up to", "up to". And what I want to do with my amendment is to strike those two words. If they stay in, then it means that the amount of money that will go for this program could be any amount from 1 cent up to \$3,200,000. By striking the words "up to", we will have the flat amount of \$3,200,000. This is not a good bill, in my opinion. What it attempts to do in the manner it attempts to do it is not sound fiscal policy. But if you're insistent on doing this, the bill ought to say, categorically, the amount of money that is going to be involved. So by striking the two words that my amendment would strike, we are left with language that says, it is the intent of the Legislature to appropriate \$3,200,000. Then an A bill will say the amount appropriated is \$3,200,000. And I believe this ought to be done. If it is adopted, then there are some things still in the bill that probably ought to be wiped out and Senator Stuhr might agree to that being done. I thought Senator Beutler might have some amendments, but I am not sure, so I will not take a long time on this one. Senator Stuhr and I have talked about it. She has agreed to the adoption of this amendment, but in order that the record is clear, I would ask Senator Stuhr to