

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 22, 1999 LB 142

unevenly in our process. There has to be a bill, there has to be a way to tinker with that formulation, or whatever it is, in another way. We can't do it in our committee. We can simply, literally "throw money at it". And I don't think we want to throw money at it to solve it. We want to have it distributed right. And perhaps one answer is to go a percentage issue...percentage distribution, that those would be held...they would get their money based on a firm amount, but it would be based on the percentages that they have right now. That might get away from an uneven or incorrect distribution, that's one way. But it can't be done here on the floor at this time. My thinking is that this debate ought to continue at least through this hour. I don't want to speak for the Speaker, he's not here at this point, he's speaking to a group. But I would say that before this would come back on either further General File, or even Select File, we ought to have a chance to find out where we could agree on a distribution, one way to do it. We can't really pound it out on the floor, but it must be debated. And I, once again back to the subject, I support the suspension of the germaneness rule at this point; not necessarily do I support the amendments...the underlying amendment. But we have several of these to discuss. I think the whole body needs to understand the difficulty in messing with this and still understand that we in our committee, Appropriations, can't change that formula without statutory authority, we can't make adjustments without statutory authority, and we are not inclined just to put additional money in anywhere for other entities, until we understand the whole picture, and that takes a distribution formula, once again. So sounds confusing, but I want to, on top of that, I want to throw in the possibility, I'd like to have Senator Wickersham speak to it on his time, is the fact that we probably have an inkling that property values are going up again. There probably is capacity within the valuations for this to happen again. And, if that's true, I'm going to be reluctant to increase state aid at all, if there is going to be capacity within these levy limits. And if valuations are going up, he may have a feel of it, I don't at this point, but that presents another scenario, a different scenario again, coming soon that we ought to be aware of before we throw more state aid anywhere. And I use...I shouldn't be using the word "throw". I don't mean to have that interpreted literally. But before we...