

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

February 2, 1999 LB 64

Thank you for joining us today. Now moving on to our list of speakers, Senator Kristensen, your light is next, did you...Senator Kristensen waives off. Senator Chambers, please.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I support this bill, and this subject that we're talking about is kind of hard to get a handle on, as Senator Kristensen said, but I'm going to give him and maybe the members of the Legislature a definition of hearsay that is not too hard to understand, and that is it's a tale of a tale. One person is testifying to something that somebody else said, and that somebody who said it is not here to be cross-examined. So it's a tale of a tale. But on this particular matter, as Senator Hilgert pointed out, the federal rules contain this language, and the matter would come up either while an expert witness is being questioned during his or her direct testimony, or when that person is being cross-examined. And it would relate to an issue discussed by an expert witness and not be used to establish a fact that exists in the case itself. For example, if I want to prove that Senator Hilgert hit my car because he went through a red light when he should not have done it, I could not take a pamphlet from history and read something from that which would say that a young man who is in the Nebraska Legislature, if he was in an accident, would probably be in an accident because he ran a red light. Well, I couldn't say, well, here's something which, by God, describes exactly what happened long ago, well, no, it can't be used for that. If it's a point of history, something relative to medicine, the arts, or those subject matters that could have a bearing on the case. It would not be necessary to call in individual witnesses to discuss every thing that you might find in one of these treatises or learned journals. And the nature of these treatises and other publications would be known by the judge. The judges is still in the position to make some determinations. And I would like to comment on something Senator Kristensen said about pamphlets. I read a lot of history and practically everything written during the revolutionary period of this country was denominated a pamphlet. We usually think of something two or three sheets, or maybe one sheet, but in those days pamphlets could be quite extensive and voluminous. I've got some other business I have to correct, I want to take care of, and it relates to Baron Tyson. Is Baron Tyson in the Chamber? I see...