

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 19, 1997 LB 280

take place in Nebraska. But it recognizes them, if they take place in another state where they're legal in that state. It would void a marriage between people of the same sex, in 42-101. But that marriage, if it's legal in another state, is still void in Nebraska. So it would seem there are two different public policies. One, which lists out all these different marriages which will be void if entered into in Nebraska, but they're valid if they're entered into someplace else. If Nebraska were taking a strong public policy, the attitude would be the same toward both. If marriage between people of the same sex is void in Nebraska and will continue to be void, even if valid in another state and the two parties move to Nebraska, these other marriages which are void in Nebraska should continue to be void in Nebraska, even if they're entered into by people in a different state. This shows the hypocrisy and dishonesty of this bill. There is no strong public policy. If there were a strong public policy, there would not have been the vacillation and the agreement to allow these marriages that are void in Nebraska to continue to be valid if they're entered into in another state. This is nothing other than or less than gay bashing; it's based on homophobia. Marriage is a civil right and it should be discussed in those terms, extended to everybody on an equal basis. It has been framed in the context of a moral/religious issue. Morality is seamless and moves in an unwavy line. Yet we see the morality, Senator Jensen, taking a detour around all of these other abhorrent marriage...

SENATOR COORDSEN: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...relationships, and says that they will be allowed in Nebraska if they're entered into someplace else. But then that morality puts on its hard, unyielding face when it deals with a particular union between people of the same sex, and says if they enter such a union, which is legal in another state, it is nevertheless invalid in this state. There is the hypocrisy of all religion, there shows the flaws in the morality of most of the people on this floor, and it shows the political underpinnings of this bill, which makes a blatant apish to homophobia, irrational fear, and prejudice that will define an identifiable group of people based on one trait and use that as the basis to discriminate against them across the board. And I think that is totally wrong. I'm opposed to this bill. And my