

in fact, it comes to that certainly something's going to have to be explored. Isn't that right?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Right.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Thank you very much.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Lynch, you're recognized to close on the amendment.

SENATOR LYNCH: Mr. Speaker and members, I've never done this before. I've never influenced and encouraged people to debate or talk about anything with the intention before I started, and without telling any of you, that it was going to be my recommendation that I withdraw this amendment, but I have to do that. I think, though, that it was important for us to address all of the issues that we discussed today and I can promise you, with the help and permission of the 309 Committee, we will be meeting before this bill gets to Select File. Another... a number of things to address. For example, why take it four years at a time? Why not take it one year at a time? What kind of language do we need to, in fact, make sure that there is some commitment for building renewal? There is a conflict with the bill in language. If I struck Section 13, for example, on page 13, and I represent this Legislative District 13 so this is one of those days I guess, on page 13, section (sic - subsection) (h) it simply strikes some language that has to do with such funds "beginning July 1, 1995, made only to the Building Renewal Allocation Fund". Now that language is struck, if you were looking at it, it strikes that language. It's struck, because you see in Section 13 on page 12 it does provide for that 5 cents and the 9 cents in the year 2001. So if I strike 13 and then in a sense, like we would say, you cut off your nose in spite of your face and all of that business. We're... it's not a responsible thing to do. And I suggested striking 13 to raise the issue about funding, raise the issue about building renewal, raise the issue about consultants, to be completely frank, and how much we spend. And I think one of the most important amendments we can consider though seriously is not going for four years, earmarking these funds or agency funds for bringing us into the year 2000, but to have somebody justify to us why it is going to take 28 million dollars and why is it going to take four years. Now, if you were in business and you had a little computer system and you