

we talked about the Micron bill. They didn't come but Cargill is out there. Now that's I know important to Senator Robinson but Cargill already was getting LB 775 tax breaks. They were getting the ethanol tax credits and on top of that now they'll be getting the Micron benefits. The question has to be asked, what does the state ultimately benefit from in that project? Do we end up with a positive or a negative result from that? So I think again, although we're talking about Union Pacific here, it does open the door for others to follow. And though Union Pacific is a good citizen and we all care, who will be next and how many more? So I rise in opposition to the advancement...

SENATOR WILL: One minute.

SENATOR WESELY: ...of LB 1368. Thank you.

SENATOR WILL: Thank you, Senator Wesely. Senator Wesely, your light is next. Senator Wesely waives. Senator Brown, on the advancement of LB 1368. Senator Brown waives. Senator Chambers, your light is next. Senator Chambers, you're recognized to speak on the advancement of LB 1368. Senator Chambers, I understand there is an amendment on the desk. Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Senator Chambers would move to amend the bill.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Speaker, Mr. President and members of the Legislature, and, Senator Brashear, I want you to stop sweating. I want you to relax for a moment because what I intend to do is to offer that amendment...I'm going to withdraw it in other words, but I want it filed for consideration on Select File so I'm not going to take it off the desk. I'll leave it there, but I'm not going to take it up tonight. This bill is a very bad bill and I'm going to oppose it. I'm going to vote against it. But I have an amendment that I've drafted that I think is appropriate and it relates to the involvement of employees in making these determinations of how the money will be spent. And, Senator Brashear, if you look at LB...well, I can show you later on the section. The language is being tracked from LB 829, Section 27(6). That is where I get the language talking about the company and the employee in connection with making determinations of how this money will be spent. And I added in addition to "and the employee," that's a statement, in addition to that "or employees pursuant to a collective bargaining