

SENATOR CHAMBERS: (inaudible).

SENATOR EUTLER: Okay, but let me tell you first of all that the particular paragraph on page 8, it says "may" but it is mandatory. The reason it says "may" is because there may be other reasons like DWI for example, why that license shouldn't be reinstated even though he comes into compliance with child support. So, I think that "may" is the correct language given the overall context of things and I think that all of the other language clearly would have the license reinstated if the only problem were child support. So, I don't think that anybody need be embarrassed by the language that is in the bill. Now Senator Chambers asked me about the Governor's support of the bill. The Governor supports the bill but you see the reason, and I think you all understand it, the reason why he wants to emphasize the Governor's involvement in the bill is because he thinks if he can make it the Governor's bill then there are going to be some conservative Republicans here are going to say, no, we don't want the Governor to get anything, we are going to vote against it. I mean it is a tactic, anything is fair in this game but I'm not going to answer his question on his terms until I have a chance to explain what I think is happening here and that is exactly what I think is happening and I think it is very clever but the truth of the matter is even though the Governor supports this bill, this bill antedated the Governor. This bill came from the women's groups, from the child advocacy groups, from all of those people who have traditionally year after year persistently worked on the problem of child support. That is where the bill came from. The Governor lent his support. It is not a Governor's bill. I would hope that nobody would vote one way or the other on the bill based on partisan politics, but really what is happening in asking that question, it seems to me, my analysis is, that a nonpartisan member of this legislature is attending to play off one partisan group against another for the purpose of defeating the bill. Really bottom line, it is a motion for reconsideration. Bottom line, it drags it out further. Bottom line, this is the third filibuster of the session and it is only what, 12 or 13 days old? That is bottom line. Another filibuster. Another time we won't get to vote on something up or down. Another time it will take 30 votes instead of a majority in here in order to do something. Bottom line, that is what is happening right now.