

sometimes doesn't like to get caught in the middle of these things and they look to the Legislature for their direction and that way they can give legitimacy to what they're doing. Senator Schrock, if I could take you and maybe you were discussing this with other people and it's inappropriate to ask you, but if it is appropriate, if you could maybe tell me how you would interpret the substantial threat that we're looking at in this amendment and a definition from you.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Senator Schrock.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Senator Preister, I'd be glad to. Any time you have a controlled shooting area, and keep in mind I've not been on a controlled shooting area, but any time you have buckshot bouncing off of somebody's house, obviously, that is going to interfere with that person's property rights. Inadvertently, if some buckshot were to...would fall harmlessly on somebody else's property there could be some question there as to whether that's a problem. I suppose it all depends on what kind of neighbors you have, but buckshot falling outside the appropriate shooting area could and would interfere with a person's property rights, the right to enjoy their land, the right to use their land to its best product...to it's best productive...

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: One minute.

SENATOR SCHROCK: ..capacity, so I hope that's the kind of answer you're looking for.

SENATOR PREISTER: Thank you, Senator Schrock. You're saying, in essence, that it's certainly a substantial threat when they're having shotgun pellets hitting their house or rifle shells going through their house. That's certainly substantial and would be considered really life-threatening.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Sure, and any time it'd interfere with a livestock operation in the vicinity or cause stress to livestock, I think that would be a substantial threat also.

SENATOR PREISTER: Good. I appreciate that addition because we...I think it does need to be more broad-based than just simply rifle shells or shotgun pellets going into somebody's property and their potential...potentially into themselves. So substantial, as Senator Schrock said and I would occur (sic),