

would be preferable. But that problem needs to be addressed because I'm finding that that is...that's a pretty good cost that I think we can achieve some savings in. With that, I think I'm completed with my remarks. Senator McKenzie or Senator Bernard-Stevens, care to say anything else? Okay, thank you all for your cooperation.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Bromm. The question before the body is the adoption of the Bromm amendment to the McKenzie amendment to LB 742. All those in favor vote aye; all those opposed vote nay. Please record.

CLERK: 26 ayes, 0 nays, Madam President, on the adoption of the Bromm and Bernard-Stevens amendment.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: The amendment is adopted.

CLERK: For the record, Senator Bromm, you now...I understand you want to withdraw FA268, Senator, which was the third component of the original...

SENATOR BROMM: That's correct.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Seeing no objection, it is withdrawn.

CLERK: Madam President, Senator McKenzie, you have the next amendment to your original amendment, FA...or, excuse me, AM2236, Senator. (Amendment may be found on page 2259 of the Legislative Journal.)

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator McKenzie.

SENATOR MCKENZIE: Thank you, Madam President and members of the body. This is basically a technical amendment that was given to us to offer to the original McKenzie-Bernard-Stevens amendment based on a review by Larry Scherer with the Special Education Accountability Commission and Tammy, as Legal Counsel for Education Committee. But basically there was a problem in the language of the bill that deals with the section that talks about the zero to three program. And the only way to...we struggled to try to find a right way to state the language. But basically what it does, you'll notice the amendment is just a lot of changing commas and change of a word from "preventative" to "preventive", except for item five which strikes lines 1 through 23 on page 13, that's the language that was suggested