

May 9, 1995

LB 642

have, from my area, a state board member that I meet with regularly, and also their specialist. So I think most of us...or what we are looking at here, bottom line, is what is best for the kids placed out of the home, and what's the best process for doing that? And I think the dialogue will continue, but a good place I believe to start is with Senator Schimek's amendment, which looks at if we are making any changes, how will they actually be implemented. And I think her questions on the transfer of employees is a good one. I do think it needs to be clarified. I think it could create us some problems, and I will address this bill later on as it goes along, but at this point, I urge your support of the Schimek amendment.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Hillman. Senator Hall to speak to the Schimek amendment. Senator Schimek.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Madam President, I would like to withdraw the amendment for the time being. Thank you.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: The amendment is withdrawn. Senator Hall, what is your point?

SENATOR HALL: Madam President, I would like to ask that the amendment be with...or excuse me, be divided, that Section 1 of the amendment be treated as a separate section from Section 2, 2 and 3 of the amendment. They are clearly divisible.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: It is so ordered. Senator Avery, do you have a preference in order of reviewing those sections?

SENATOR AVERY: No, we can go ahead with the first part, first section.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Section 1.

SENATOR AVERY: Right.

SENATOR HALL: A point of order.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL: I would question the germaneness of Section 1 of the amendment to LB 642, and I would like the Chair to rule on that, if that point is in order.