

January 11, 1995

that the stop Ernie rule is not going to stop Ernie. You ought to adopt a rule and show the state what this Legislature is and how terrified you are of my debating abilities.

SENATOR LINDSAY: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: You know the Bell curve came out and said I'm inferior to all of you all genetically. I'm the genetic inferior and yet all of my superiors who make the rules cannot make a rule to stop me. I mean can you understand why sometimes I'm so arrogant, why I'm so insufferable, why I seem to show such overweening pride? You all cause that. You all do it every session at the start. You stroke my ego. No man or woman should have that kind of power but I manage it pretty well so if you're going to bestow it on somebody I'm the perfect one to do it and I will not, although I have the power of a giant, I will not use it as a giant. But think about this now. Why change your rules for no purpose? When to do so just gives me...

SENATOR LINDSAY: Time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...a chance to go around and taunt and gloat. You cannot achieve what they say they're trying to achieve so I think it's a pointless change. I'm not going to oppose it. I'm going to take it as a tribute. I am once again enshrined in your rules and for that, colleagues, I thank you.

SENATOR LINDSAY: The rules can't stop Senator Chambers but apparently the clock can. Senator Withem.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Just a brief comment on this. I think listening to the debate I am not convinced that adding this change would bring about any particular improvement to our situation, it might lead to more confusion. I recognize the concern. I know when I was on the Rules Committee we had a lot of discussion about the use of the tactic of dividing the question as a dilatory measure. My preference quite frankly would be just simply to limit that ability for any individual to ask that any given question be divided into any number of parts and any individual then being able to take a, what would be a relatively simple measure that ought to be handled relatively quickly and turning that into in essence 15 different proposals all of which need to be debated. I think that would be a more effective way to go than limiting this amendment to the individual component parts of an amendment. There are times, I